

ODP STAFF SUMMARY SHEET

SUBJECT:

FY 1986 Research and Development Program

Mailed 1/19/84

PURPOSE OF ACTION:

STAT

ACTION OFFICER (Incl. Ext.)

STAT

REFERENCES:

Control No.

DDA Memo, same Subject

RESOURCE PACKAGE & COSTS (If applicable):

THIS PAPER IS FOR YOUR:

COMPONENT/ OFFICER	INFORMATION/ COMMENT	CONCURRENCE	APPROVAL/ SIGNATURE	INITIALS	DATE
C/MS	X				1/16/84
EXO/ODP	X				1/17/84
DD/ODP	X				18 JAN 84
D/ODP	X				18 JAN 1984
MS-SEC - Copy & Dist.					

STAT

DISCUSSION:

Attached

STAT

1. Key point, as mentioned on the attached note, is that S+T's format leaves something to be desired. This may be more "efficient" for S+T, but not really address our needs.

STAT

2. I understand that our responses were phoned in to [redacted] (up due date was 16th)

1/17/84: memo redrafted to reflect our opinions more clearly

SIGNATURE OF ACTION OFFICER

DATE

ADD TO OFFICIAL FILE YES ___ NO ___

STAT

Explanatory Notes

STAT

Subject: Self-explanatory - include ODP number if applicable.

Purpose: What will action accomplish, e.g., "Reply to letter from OMB," "Obtain DDA approval to spend "Comply with periodic reporting requirements," etc.

Action Officer: Name, organization, extension.

References: List of pertinent references. Copies should be attached in order listed.

Resource Package and Costs: Identify the Resource Package and total costs for each fiscal year if the action involves funds.

Routing: Who should see the action, whether for information, comment, concurrence, or signature/approval. The individual reviewing the action should initial and date where indicated. Place an "x" under the appropriate column for each component. If concurrences are contained on record copy of action, simply refer to the action.

Discussion: Narrative discussion of action - what led up to the action, why is it necessary, what do you want done. The pertinent references should be explained insofar as they relate to this action. If the action itself contains all this information, simply refer to the action.

Signature of Action Officer: Sign and date form.

Classification: Mark at the top and bottom of page, as appropriate.

117

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

- o Last year's ranking was done as a prioritization of ODP problem statements.
- o This year's request is to evaluate DDS&T budget packages.
- o In general, the packages are oriented in support of other offices more than in support of ODP problem statements. However, the attached response reflects how closely the DDS&T program initiatives align with ODP's previously stated priorities.
- o In an effort to focus more R&D attention on DDA priorities, the DDA position will be:
 - a. To combine the Technical Surveillance Countermeasures initiative and the Physical Protection And Material Destruction initiative with the Enhanced Security Program.
 - b. To support the Enhanced Security Program along with initiative for the Joint Emanations Assessment Facility.
 - c. To make a statement that the program initiatives are not very supportive of DDA needs, and the documentation provided says little about how the base program will be addressed.



STAT

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

ODP-84-063
17 January 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: DDA Planning Officer

FROM:

[REDACTED]
Chief, Policy and Plans Group
Management Staff, ODP

STAT

SUBJECT: FY 1986 Research and Development Program

REFERENCE: Your Memo, same Subject, Undated,

[REDACTED]

STAT

1. While the method for presenting the proposed R&D program by using the programmatic approach may suit the purposes of the DS&T, it does little to aid ODP's evaluation.

2. Several of the initiatives suggest a requirement for extensive computer facility support, such as [REDACTED]

STAT

[REDACTED] ODP needs to understand the implications of these initiatives so that we can structure our FY 1986 Program appropriately. Perhaps this computer support can be best satisfied by a large scientific computer.

3. Further, the OD&E initiative for an Advanced Automated Storage and Retrieval System is a development initiative rather than an R&D initiative.

4. However, in strict response to your request for ODP's evaluation of the proposed R&D program described in the reference, the following two initiatives most closely reflect ODP's highest priorities:

a.
b.

[REDACTED]

STAT

Page Denied

PAGE 3

SUBJECT: FY 1986 Research and Development Program

ODP/CP&PG/MS, (17 January 1984)

STAT

Distribution:

- Original - Addressee
 - 1 - MS Chrono
 - 1 - MS Subject File
 - 2 - ODP Registry

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

17 September 1982

FY 1985 R&D PROBLEM STATEMENTS

ODP RANKING SHEET*

1. Communication Strategies
2. Computer Security
3. Low-Cost Tempest Technology
4. Database Front-Ends to Improve User Accessibility
5. Computer System Configuration Analysis**
6. Approaches to Requirements Analysis and Definition
7. User Satisfaction
8. Management of Large Software Development Contracts
9. Distributed Cartographic Database
10. Mass Storage

*Ongoing requirements, except as indicated.

**New problem statement.

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

Attachment B

1985
FY ~~1984~~ ODP PROBLEM STATEMENTS

25X1



SECRET

Page Denied

Next 14 Page(s) In Document Denied